DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 417 984 SE 061 329

AUTHOR Benedetti, Teresa A.; Reed, Michelle K.

TITLE Supervising Student Teachers Using Peer Coaching.

PUB DATE 1998-04-03

NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National

Council of Teachers of Mathematics (76th, Washington, DC,

April 3, 1998).

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Reports - Research (143) --

Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Clinical Supervision (of Teachers); Elementary Secondary

Education; Higher Education; *Inservice Teacher Education; *Preservice Teacher Education; Professional Development; *Student Teachers; Teacher Education; *Teacher Supervision

IDENTIFIERS *Peer Coaching

ABSTRACT

Traditional supervision of teachers in American schools is often mislabeled. In practice, it is more an exercise in administrative monitoring and evaluation instead of a method to help teachers grow and improve professionally. Clinical supervision, developed as an alternative to traditional supervisory methods, focuses on the non-evaluative use of classroom observation data. Peer coaching, an innovative outgrowth of clinical supervision, places the responsibility of supervision in the hands of the teachers themselves. This paper provides information on peer coaching and presents findings from other studies that show the successes of inservice peer coaching. The need for peer coaching with preservice teachers is called for and findings are shown to indicate the successes of preservice peer coaching. Several issues are proposed that should be considered when designing a preservice peer coaching program. Contains 35 references. (Author/ASK)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
from the original document. *



PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Supervising Student Teachers Using Peer Coaching

Teresa A. Benedetti Elms College Chicopee, MA

Michelle K. Reed
The Ohio State University
Columbus, OH



Paper presented at the 76th Annual Meeting of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, Washington, D. C., April 3, 1998.

Traditional supervision of teachers in American schools is often mislabeled. In practice, it is more an exercise in administrative monitoring and evaluation instead of a method to help teachers grow and improve professionally.

Clinical supervision (Cogan, 1973), developed as an alternative to traditional supervisory methods, focuses on the non-evaluative use of classroom observation data. This field-based approach attempts to increase teachers' understanding of the art and science of teaching and contribute to their development as practitioners. Clinical supervision is a cyclical process that includes at least three stages: preobservation conference, classroom observation, and postobservation conference (see Appendix A).

Peer Coaching

Peer coaching, an innovative outgrowth of clinical supervision, places the responsibility of supervision in the hands of teachers themselves. As a type of developmental collaboration, peer coaching is a process in which two or more teachers meet regularly for problem solving using planning, observation, feedback, and creative thinking for the development of a specific skill (Joyce & Showers, 1980). With its beginnings as a staff development technique, peer coaching has most often been applied at the inservice level, and has been used to enhance preservice teacher education only in recent years. This paper traces the history and successes of peer coaching within inservice teacher education, then gives a rationale and procedure for using peer coaching with preservice teachers.

The purposes of peer coaching vary according to the needs of the teachers (Garmston, 1987):

- Technical coaching asks peers to focus on helping each other transfer a new teaching skill. For example, peers may focus on alternative assessment and help each other ensure a match between their instruction and assessment practices.
- Collegial coaching focuses on the refinement of teaching practices. Peers work on skills with which they believe they may need help and feedback but that are already present in their teaching repertoire. For instance, if peers want to improve their questioning skills, they might use the preobservation conference to write appropriate questions and then focus the postobservation conference feedback on this skill.
- Challenge coaching resolves a problematic situation in instruction and begins with the identification of a persistent problem. For example, if a teacher is experiencing difficulty implementing cooperative learning group work, working



with a peer to analyze the situation would allow her to improve this technique for the benefit of the students.

Whether the purpose for using peer coaching is learning new skills, improving existing skills, or rectifying a problematic situation in one's teaching, the process is the same and follows the stages of the clinical supervision cycle. However, emphasis is placed on the preobservation conference, where peers collaboratively decide which skills or methods will be the focus of the observation and postconference.

The peer coach has two primary functions within the clinical supervision cycle (Neubert, 1988). The first is to provide feedback to the coached (observed) teacher on her attempts to adapt the new or revised classroom skills. Also, because making a change in teaching may cause anxiety, the coach provides support for the coached teacher during this adjustment period.

Peer coaching programs vary according to who serves as the coach (Ackland, 1991). In expert coaching an outside trainer provides workshops to groups of teachers in the use of a specific skill or model and then serves as coach to individual teachers. The expert could also be a teacher in the same building with advanced knowledge of a teaching model or skill assisting a peer who does not possess the same knowledge. In reciprocal coaching pairs or small groups of teachers with equal knowledge and teaching skill serve as peer coaches to each other, alternating the roles of coach and observed teacher.

Successes of Inservice Peer Coaching

Too often inservice workshops ignore the individual needs of teacher participants and do not provide follow-up assistance to teachers in the classroom. As a result, only 5-10% of what is learned in a one-shot workshop is transferred to the classroom (Joyce & Showers, 1980; Batesky, 1991). However, teachers who have been involved in peer coaching programs have been found to practice the new strategies more frequently, use them more effectively and skillfully, retain knowledge of the skills for a longer time, and exhibit a better understanding of the skills in terms of their lesson objectives (Showers, 1985). The research of Joyce and Showers (1980) has shown that the necessary conditions for this type and rate of transfer include: presentation of theory, demonstrations of the teaching skill or model, simulated practice of the skill/model, use of the skill/model in an actual classroom setting, and coaching for application of the skill/model (Joyce & Showers, 1982, 1983).

In addition to enhancing the effectiveness of teachers, peer coaching provides them with many benefits:



- Companionship and collegiality: Typical K-12 school organization isolates teachers in their classrooms. Peer coaching increases time spent by teachers in discussions of planning, instruction, and assessment with their colleagues (Showers, 1985).
- Learning in context: Because each classroom is a complex interactive environment, a peer coach is an invaluable resource to a teacher when adapting skills to different groups of students (Smylie & Conyers, 1991).
- Reflection: In the act of conferencing with another teacher, an individual reflects not only on her own practice, but also on the feedback she gives to her peer partner. Peer coaching fosters deeper thinking about the content and processes of one's teaching (Garmston, Linder, & Whitaker, 1993).
- Common language: In pre- and postconference meetings, teachers use concrete language to describe, analyze, and interpret their work. With this common professional language to describe the acts of teaching, teachers increase their own learning about teaching and their subject matter (Little, 1982).
- Professional growth: Serving as a coach expands the traditional role of a classroom teacher into that of a teacher educator, especially when helping novice teachers adapt to the workplace (Gilman, 1987; Bartunek, 1990).
- Supportive environment: Peer coaching encourages experimentation with new practices and gives teachers the confidence to try something new (Sparks & Bruder, 1987). Teachers are assured that the collected classroom data will be kept confidential between the peer coaching partners and will not become part of an administrative evaluation (Batesky, 1991).

Need for Peer Coaching with Preservice Teachers

The successful use of peer coaching at the inservice level has caused many educators involved in preservice teacher education to question current supervision methods. Several conditions exist within traditional supervision practices on the university level that impede effective supervision of preservice teachers.

University supervisors are often either graduate students with demanding schedules or are professors who are busy teaching and/or designing courses and who also need to produce scholarly work (Pierce & Miller, 1994). Both of these groups have minimal time to devote to field experience students. Also, the roles of each member of the supervisory triad (preservice teacher, cooperating teacher, and university supervisor) are at times poorly defined, and individual responsibilities as well as the expectations of both the university supervisor and cooperating teacher are often unclear (Hoover, O'Shea, & Carroll, 1988).



Training for the role of cooperating teacher is an uncommon practice (Lewis, 1990), and cooperating teachers are thus unprepared for their roles as teacher educators. One result of this lack of preparation is that cooperating teachers do not reflect on teaching themselves and are not, therefore, models of reflective practitioners for their field experience students. This is indeed unfortunate because the cooperating teacher has been repeatedly noted as the most influential element of the student teaching experience (Metcalf, 1991). In addition, a cooperating teacher receives no reprieve of duties when accepting a student teacher into her classroom (Koerner, 1992), which can make serving as a cooperating teacher more burdensome than invigorating.

Teacher educators and cooperating teachers need not despair, however, for another body of research on the concerns of student teachers and stages that they experience in the process of teacher education serves as a helpful guide to inform effective supervision. Student teachers have some common concerns, and these concerns occur in a fairly regular sequence (Fuller & Bown, 1975). First, preservice teachers are concerned with their own survival, including whether or not they will be liked by the pupils and the cooperating teacher, their own adequacy as a student teacher, classroom control, and their own status as "student." After some time in the field placement dealing with these survival concerns, they worry increasingly about the duties and tasks of a teacher: planning instruction, working with students, noninstructional duties, and lack of time and materials. At the third stage, student teachers are able to devote their attention to the needs of their pupils and the appropriateness of their teaching and curriculum for individual students.

Caruso (1977) categorizes student teacher development based on student teachers' feelings and attitudes (see Appendix B). Six stages compose this model, and it is only at stage five, labeled as "more confidence/greater inadequacy," that survival is no longer a concern for student teachers. Student teachers at this stage finally realize that they have the abilities to complete the internship and only then can they make progress toward analysis of their teaching. Unfortunately, many student teachers do not reach these later stages of development because their concerns are not taken seriously and sufficiently addressed.

Clearly, it behooves teacher educators to apply techniques to provide the developmental support that preservice teachers need to enter student teaching at a higher stage of development, thus making the teacher education process more efficient. Peer coaching is a technique that enables university supervisors and cooperating teachers to become more effective teacher educators. When armed with



Successes of Preservice Peer Coaching

The successes of peer coaching on the inservice level have motivated teacher educators to use this technique as an enhancement to traditional university supervision of student teaching and early field experience practica. Although the amount of research on peer coaching in preservice teacher education is not as extensive as that involved in inservice, peer coaching has been found to improve teacher education in the following ways:

- Observation skills: In order for student teachers to reap the benefits of observing other practitioners, they must know what to observe. Sending preservice teachers into the field without giving them guidance or focus about what to look for and why does them a great disservice. Peer coaching and its emphasis on the preobservation conference gives student teachers ongoing practice in the use of these skills (Majhanovich & Gray, 1992).
- Transfer of skills: Continued use of peer coaching facilitates student teachers' recall and use of effective strategies and techniques that were presented to them in methodology courses (Neubert & Stover, 1994; Peterson & Hudson, 1989; Wynn, 1988).
- Reflection: The discussion that transpires between student teachers during their peer coaching conferences (pre- and post-) are opportunities to discuss and reflect upon teaching strategies and methods (Barnett & Bayne, 1992).
- Larger repertoire of skills: Opportunities to observe peers' teaching exposes student teachers to additional teaching strategies and methods, and gives them opportunities to share ideas and materials, thus extending teacher education by using peers as resources (Neubert & Stover, 1994).
- Counteracts ineffective supervision: The majority of cooperating teachers are not trained to fulfill their role as supervisors to student teachers (Lewis, 1990). Engaging in peer coaching gives student teachers an extra source of input and feedback in their episodes of teaching.
- Better match between goals of university and field setting: When a student teacher or group of student teachers is placed in a K-12 setting, the cooperating teacher becomes familiar with the goals of the particular teacher education program (Barnett & Bayne, 1992). Not only are student teachers supporting each



- Communities of learners: Just as teachers are encouraged to create conditions in their own classrooms for pupils to work in groups, teachers should be placed in communities of learners to enhance their own problem-solving abilities by studying teaching and learning together (Arends & Winitzky, 1996).
- Developmental levels: Because of the focus on individual needs in the preobservation conference and the cyclical nature of peer coaching, Neubert and Stover (1994) found that even the weakest student teachers in their program, who began with superficial comments, were able to move to a more advanced stage of concern and a deeper level of reflection.

Designing a Preservice Peer Coaching Program

When using peer coaching in a specific preservice teacher education program, several issues should be considered (Hudson, Miller, Salzberg, & Morgan, 1994).

First, coaches need to be chosen. Keeping in mind that a trusting, collegial relationship is vital to the peer coaching process, experienced teachers may be paired with novice teachers or two novice teachers may be paired together. However, the use of either novice or experienced teachers as coaches will affect the decisions in other areas of the program because of the differences in levels of experience.

Next, responsibilities of the coach must be assigned. For example, the coach may be responsible for the full range of supervision duties, including assigning a grade, while the university supervisor monitors the progress of the coached teacher, or the coach may have limited responsibilities while the university supervisor continues to conduct formal observations and evaluations.

Third, the amount of training provided to coaches must be considered. Will the coach have minimal or extensive training on data collection, coaching procedures, and communication and collaboration skills?

A fourth issue to be resolved in developing a preservice peer coaching program is to decide which teaching behaviors the coach will focus on and how data will be collected (Hudson et al., 1994). If the preservice teacher is using a highly structured lesson format, the coach will have a better idea about when certain teacher and/or student behaviors will occur in the lesson, which will simplify the job of observing. Decisions about the number of different behaviors to observe and the type of observation system to use will also affect the complexity of the



observation. Hudson et al. suggest novice coaches use a well-defined observation system, in which observation forms contain clearly defined teacher and student behaviors and for which training is provided in collecting data and giving feedback.

Finally, the type of coaching procedure must be determined. All peer coaching should follow the clinical supervision cycle of preobservation conference, observation, and postobservation conference, but circumstances may require the use of videotaping instead of live observations. Also, the practice of allowing time before conferring with the coach permits the preservice teacher to evaluate his own performance and begin to gain skills of self-evaluation.

Implementation of peer coaching in an existing preservice teacher education program calls for teacher educators to make careful and deliberate decisions regarding the forms and purpose of peer coaching, the time and location of observations, and the skills of focus. Once these decisions are made, the teacher educator must remain closely involved with the evolving peer coaching program to ensure that the process is helpful for the prospective teachers.

Procedure for Preservice Peer Coaching

After making the programmatic decisions outlined above, the teacher educator can facilitate the success of the peer coaching program and remain actively involved in the progress of peer coaching by helping the peer coaches with materials, checking for their involvement in the clinical supervision cycle, and ensuring that each individual understands the purposes of each aspect of the program. The teacher educator not only supervises the content of teaching, but supervises the process of teacher collaboration by assisting the prospective teachers in their discussions and analyses of their teaching in the following ways (Benedetti, 1997):

- 1. Suggest that student teachers choose a coach whom they trust.
- 2. Be certain that everyone is familiar with and able to engage in the clinical supervision processes, which include a preobservation conference that sets the focus for the observation, the classroom observation itself, and the postobservation conference debriefing period with planning for future implementation and observations.
- 3. Advise everyone to collect materials to aid observation and feedback processes, such as notebooks/log books and video- and audiotapes. Videotaping the lesson may help coaches who become overwhelmed by the amount of activity during observations or who may not be able to view the lesson firsthand.
- 4. Consider conducting weekly peer coaching seminars as Mello (1984) outlines:
 - Peers propose discussion topics.



- Group members ask clarification questions.
- Members share their experiences or brainstorm new ideas.
- The nominator of the topic chooses what she thinks will work best in her situation.
- During the next meeting, the group member informs the group about how she applied the advice the group generated.

The process of becoming a teacher is complicated. Apart from the academic and practical aspects of teacher education, there is a very personal component to becoming a teacher. If teacher educators are going to attend to this personal component, they must appreciate and understand that one's learning proceeds best when it is situated in a context, constructed from personal knowledge, and takes place in a social setting (Borko & Putnam, 1996). With its many successes with inservice teachers, and similar results in preservice teacher education, peer coaching has been shown to provide opportunities for this kind of learning and is a powerful technique for effecting change and reform in today's classrooms.

Teacher educators cannot prepare preservice teachers for every situation they will face in the classroom. Teacher education can, however, provide its students with effective strategies to use as cognitive tools to work through any situation presented to them in their teaching. Peer coaching is one strategy that is collaborative and contextual, an aid to teachers for use in every teaching event.



References

- Ackland, R. (1991). A review of the peer coaching literature. Journal of Staff Development 12(1), 22-27.
- Arends, R., & Winitzky, N. (1996). Program structures and learning to teach. In F. B. Murray (Ed.), The teacher educator's handbook: Building a knowledge base for the preparation of teachers. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Barnett, D. C., & Bayne, E. L. (1992). Reflection and collaboration in the practicum: The Mayfair Project. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 354 220).
- Bartunek, H. M. (1990). The classroom teacher as teacher educator. ERIC Digest 89-7. Washington, D. C.: ERIC Clearinghouse on Teacher Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 335 297).
- Batesky, J. (1991). Peer coaching. Strategies, 4(6), 15-19.
- Benedetti, T. (1997). Enhancing teaching and teacher education with peer coaching. *TESOL Journal*, 7(1), 41-42.
- Borko, H., & Putnam, R. T. (1996). Learning to teach. In D. Berliner & R. Calfee (Eds.), Handbook of educational psychology (pp. 673-708). New York: Macmillan.
- Campbell, P. F., & Wheatley, G. H. (1983). A model for helping student teachers. Mathematics Teacher, 76(1), 60-63.
- Caruso, J. (1977). Phases in student teaching. Young Children, November, 57-63.
- Cogan, M. (1973). Clinical supervision. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Fuller, F. F., & Bown, O. H. (1975). Becoming a teacher. In K. Ryan, (Ed.), Teacher education: The seventy-fourth yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education, (pp. 25-52). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Garmston, R. (1987). How administrators support peer coaching. *Educational Leadership*, 44(5), 18-28.
- Garmston, R., Linder, C., & Whitaker, J. (1993). Reflections on cognitive coaching. *Educational Leadership*, 51(2), 57-61.
- Gilman, D. A. (1988). Teachers teaching teachers: A study in staff development for improving teaching effectiveness. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 300 352).
- Goldhammer, R. (1969). Clinical supervision. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston. Hoover, N. L., O'Shea, L. J., & Carroll, R. G. (1988). The supervisor-intern relationship and effective interpersonal communication skills. Journal of Teacher Education, 39 (2), 22-27.
- Hudson, P., Miller, S., Salzberg, C., & Morgan, R. (1994). The role of peer coaching in teacher education programs. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 17(4), 224-235.
- Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (1980). Improving inservice training: The messages of research. *Educational Leadership*, 37(5), 379-385.
- Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (1982). The coaching of teaching. *Educational Leadership*, 40(1), 4-10.
- Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (1983). Power in staff development through research on training. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 240 667).
- Koerner, M. E. (1992). The cooperating teacher: An ambivalent participant in student teaching. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(1), 46-56.
- Lewis, M. S. (1990). AACTE RATE study finds enrollment increase. AACTE Briefs, 11(3), 1.
- Little, J. W. (1982). Norms of collegiality and experimentation: Workplace conditions of school success. American Education Research Journal, 19(3), 325-340.
- Majhanovich, S., & Gray, J. (1992). The practicum: An essential component in French immersion teacher education. *The Canadian Modern Language Review*, 48(4), 683-695.



- Mello, L. (1984). Peer centered coaching: Teachers helping teachers to improve classroom performance. A product of the Associates for Human Development. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 274 648).
- Metcalf, K. K. (1991). The supervision of student teaching: A review of research. The Teacher Educator, 26(4), 27-42.
- Neubert, G., & Stover, L. (1994). Peer coaching in teacher education. Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa.
- Neubert, G. A. (1988). Improving teaching through coaching. Fastback 277.

 Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 299 261).
- Peterson, S., & Hudson, P. (1989). Coaching: A strategy to enhance preservice teacher behaviors. Teacher Education and Special Education, 12(1), 56-60.
- Pierce, T., & Miller, S. P. (1994). Using peer coaching in preservice practica. Teacher Education and Special Education, 17(4), 215-223.
- Piland, D. E., & Anglin, J. M. (1993). It is only a stage they are going through: The development of student teachers. Action in Teacher Education, 15(3), 19-26.
- Showers, B., (1985). Teachers coaching teachers. *Educational Leadership*, 42(7), 43-48. Smylie, M., & Conyers, J. G. (1991). Changing conceptions of teaching influence: The
- future of staff development. Journal of Staff Development, 12(1), 12-16. Sparks, G. M., & Bruder, S. (1987). Before and after peer coaching. Educational Leadership, 45(3), 54-57.
- Wynn, M. J. (1988). Transfer of training to the classroom by student teachers: Effects of an experimental model. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 5-9, 1988). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 293 830).



Appendix A: Cycle of Clinical Supervision

Robert Goldhammer, 1969, Clinical	Morris L. Cogan, 1973, Clinical
Supervision	Supervision
• Stage 1: Preobservation conference	 Phase 1: Establishing the teacher-supervisor relationship Phase 2: Planning with the teacher Phase 3: Planning the strategy of observation
Stage 2: Observation	Phase 4: Observing instruction
Stage 3: Analysis and strategy	 Phase 5: Analyzing the teaching-learning processes Phase 6: Planning the strategy of the conference
Stage 4: Supervision conference	Phase 7: The conference
Stage 5: Postconference analysis	Phase 8: Renewal planning



Appendix B: Stages of Student Teachers

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

			П
	Caruso (1977)	Campbell & Wheatley (1983)	Piland & Anglin (1993)
	I. Anxiety euphoria	Stage 1: Concern with self	Stage 1: Fear/Uncertainty
	anxiety about approaching	Can I handle this? What do they	•
	student teaching	think of me?	nervousness, and anxiety
	sets high expectations	self analysis; focus on self vs.	growth was noted when
Concern	excitement about finally being	teaching or learning	concerns decreased and
with self	in the classroom	seem to perform mechanically	questions were answered
	II. Confusion clarity	authors claim it may be futile to	_
Anxiety	negotiation of their role with	bring up issues of student	welcomed into placement and
,	students, cooperating teacher,	learning while ST are	introduced to people and places
Confusion	and supervisor while making	struggling with identity issues	Stage 2: Socialization
	their way in the classroom by	beginning student teachers	ST accepted by children and
Uncertainty	taking on small responsibilities	"seemed to learn the classroom	others into the role of teacher
•		routine, but some could not	trust developing between CT
		characterize the dynamics	and ST
		within their classes" (p. 61).	moved to next stage when ST
			successfully taught lessons and
			received positive feedback
	III. Competence inadequacy	Stage 2: Concern with teaching	Stage 3: Autonomy
	feedback from CT and US helps	actions and student behavior	readiness to take control over
	to establish basic foundation for	What will I do? What will I say?	pupils and classroom
	building confidence although	focus on own actions but are	management
Concern	_	more aware of classroom	begin to develop autonomy in
with	insecure and unsure about own	challenges	planning of lessons and content
teaching	teaching	sometimes leads to excessive	
actions	difficult for them to get used to	planning (resulting in	
	being authority figures while	somewhat rigid, detailed	
Beginning	children continue to "test"	presentations) or to waiting for	
competence	them	someone to tell them what to do	
	IV. Criticism new awareness	(ready to try to imitate	
Autonomy	give greater attention to	anything without concern	
	children and professional	about appropriateness)	
	issues	view disruptions or apathy as	
	better able to take criticism	problems rather than as	
	become more critical of self and	symptoms of problems	
	orners		

	Caruso (1977)	Campbell & Wheatley (1983)	Piland & Anglin (1993)
	V. More confidence greater	Stage 3: Concern with student	Stage 4: Affirmation
	inadequacy	learning	evaluation by US and CT affirm
	survival no longer an issue so	Did they learn? begin to	ST's abilities to teach
	can better critically analyze	address needs of individual	meet personal goals and
Concern	selves but are unable to meet	students	expectations
with student	with student their standard of perfection	view student teaching as time to	graduation
learning	compete with CT in lesson prep	practice teaching as well as	
	and relationship with students	study teaching and develop	relief that the experience was
Confidence	VI. Loss relief	their own teaching styles	over
	loss from leaving classroom,	not many STs get to this point	
Affirmation	students, and CT; relief that it is		
	over		
	reassessment of and reflection		
	on the experience		



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

1.	DOCUMENT	IDENTIFICATION
----	----------	----------------

Title: Super	ising student teachers	using peer coaching	
Author(s): Tere	sa A Benedetti é	Michelle K Reed	
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:	
	· 	4/3/98	
II. REPRO	DUCTION RELEASE:		
announce in microfi (EDRS) o	ed in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC sy iche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/op	significant materials of interest to the educational cystem, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually mitical media, and sold through the ERIC Document urce of each document, and, if reproduction releases	ade available to users Reproduction Service
If perm below.	alssion is granted to reproduce the identified doc	cument, please CHECK ONE of the following option	s and sign the release
	Sample sticker to be affixed to document	Sample sticker to be affixed to document	→
Check here Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.
reproduction	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."	
	Level 1	Level 2	
Sign Here, Documenther b		reproduction quality permits. If permission to repart at Level 1.	roduce is granted, but
Indicated above. Resystem contractors	eproduction from the ERIC microfiche or elec-	r (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce thi tronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC ear. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction be sponse to discrete inquiries:"	employees and its
Signature://	chelle K Keed	Position:	
Printed Name: M	ichelle K Reed	Organization:	
Address: 4898	Stoneybrook BNd. rd OH 43026	Telephone Number: ()	
Hillia	rd 071 43026	Date:	



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Address:	
Price Per Copy:	Quantity Price:
IV. REFERR	AL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right t name and add	o grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate ress:
Name and address of	current copyright/reproduction rights holder:
Name and address of Name:	current copyright/reproduction rights holder:
	current copyright/reproduction rights holder:
	current copyright/reproduction rights holder:
Name:	current copyright/reproduction rights holder:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Publisher/Distributor:

ERIC®Clearinhouse for Science, Mathematics and Environmental Education 1929 Kenny Road Columbus, Ohio 43210-1080

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Facility 1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 300 Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305 Telephone: (301) 258-5500

